

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,201	02/28/2006	Christian Mueller	ITC-337US	9426	
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 11/24/2010 STTA	0	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980			BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•••	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
10/567.201	MUELLER, CHRISTIAN	
10,001,201	Mozzzzii, ornitorii ii	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gwendolyn Baxter	3632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

41/07	December to	communication(s) fil	ad 40 A	2040

- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 36-52 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9.11-18.20.21 and 23-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 10.19 and 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
 - application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/567,201

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second Office action for application 10/567,201, Test Head Positioning System, filed February 28, 2006.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "first support structure" and "a second support structure".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 11, 12, 14-18, 20, 21, 23-30, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,588,346 to Smith. Smith teaches an apparatus comprising a first support structure (38) and a second support structure (10). The first support structure is for supporting a load. The second support structure is for supporting the load. A coupling (30) coupled between said first support structure and said second support structure. The coupling includes a compliant mechanism for providing a compliant range of motion to the load about a rotative axis where a center of gravity of the load is located away from said rotative axis, said rotative axis being a non-vertical axis. The compliant mechanism is configured to be adjusted

Application/Control Number: 10/567,201

Art Unit: 3632

manually to account for variations in the load. The coupling provides at least one additional range of motion to the load in a direction or about an axis (along element 11). The apparatus is a manipulator for manipulating a test head for testing integrated circuits or any article to be held therein. The second support structure (110) supports said load through a second coupling (122). The second coupling provides the load with a range of motion about a second rotative axis (along 30). The first support structure provides the load with a first substantially vertical range of motion. The second support structure provides the load with a second substantially vertical range of motion. The second substantially vertical range of motion. At least one of said first substantially vertical range of motion and said second substantially vertical range of motion is a compliant vertical range of motion. The coupling is a rotative coupling.

Regarding method claims 11, 12, 14-18, 29, 30, and 32-35, since the method is predicated on the structure, the method is inherently taught by Smith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith. Smith teaches the limitations of the base claim, excluding compliant

Art Unit: 3632

mechanism being a pneumatic actuator or spring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the compliant mechanism as taught by Smith, since it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace a manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36-52 are allowed.

Claims 10, 19, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith 4,527,942 teaches an apparatus for manipulating a load.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-6814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrell McKinnon can be reached on 571-272-4797. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gwendolyn Baxter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632 November 22, 2010